

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|  |             | 1.1.1                | -                   |                  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/670,963   | 09/26/2003  | Akira Yamaguchi      | Q75437              | 7028             |
| 23373 7590 07/05/2007<br>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.<br>SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | DHINGRA, PAWANDEEP  |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | ,           |                      | 2625                |                  |
|  | •           | ·                    | <u>r-</u>           |                  |
|  | •           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | . 07/05/2007        | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/670,963   | YAMAGUCHI, AKIRA   |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Pawandeep S. Dhingra   | 2625   |  |  |  |
| Period fe   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPS of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Se  | eptember 2003.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or elements.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D   | ate  |  |  |  |
|   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:  | atent Application  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/670,963

Art Unit: 2625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 4, paragraph 3 page
   paragraph 1; in particular, drawn to printer apparatus having a function to control quality of an output image.
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 6 page 7; in particular, drawn to a system and method for controlling quality of printing density in a printer.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is all examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/670,963

Art Unit: 2625

not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to he examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,963

Art Unit: 2625

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pawandeep S. Dhingra whose telephone number is

571-270-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Twyler Lamb can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pd

June 23, 2007

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 4